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#### Abstract

Using isotopical approach we generalize concept of binary Moufang loop on $n$-ary $(n>2)$ case. We give two examples of ternary Moufang loop which are not a ternary group.
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## 1 Introduction

Previously, let us give basic notions and designations from [2].

1. Given a set $Q$, let its elements be designated by small latin characters. For short, let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=m}^{k}$ or $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{m}^{k}$ denote the sequence $x_{m}, x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. We will often use the designation $x_{m}^{k}$ instead of $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{m}^{k}$ if it is clear which index is being changed. The symbol $x_{m}^{k}$ makes sense if $m \leq k$. If $m=k$, then $x_{m}^{m}$ means simply an element $x_{m}$. If $m>k$, then by $x_{m}^{k}$ we shall understand empty sequence (empty set). The sequence $a, a, \ldots, a$ ( $k$ times) is denoted by ${ }_{a}^{k}$. The symbol ${ }^{0}{ }^{0}$ means empty sequence.

Let $Q^{n}$ be the Cartesian power of the set $Q$, i.e. $Q^{n}$ consists of all ordered sequences $a_{1}^{n}, a_{i} \in Q(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. A mapping $A: Q^{n} \rightarrow Q$ is called an $n$-ary operation, and one number $n$ is called the arity of the operation $A$. A set $Q$ with $n$-ary operation $A$ is called an $n$-groupoid and is denoted by $Q(A)$. If an operation $A$ puts into correspondence an element $b \in Q$ to the sequence $a_{1}^{n} \in Q^{n}$, then we write $A\left(x_{1}^{n}\right)=b$. The operations defined on the set $Q$ are denoted by capital latin characters $A, B, C, \ldots$ or by parenthesis $\left(a_{1}^{n}\right)=b$.

An $n$-groupoid $Q(A)$ is called an $n$-quasigroup or $n$-ary quasigroup if in the equality $A\left(x_{1}^{n}\right)=x_{n+1}$ any $n$ elements of $x_{1}^{n+1} \in Q$ uniquely define the ( $n+1$ )-th one. This definition is equivalent to the following one: algebra $Q(A)$ with one $n$-ary operation $A$, which is uniquely reversible at each place, is called an $n$-quasigroup [ 3 , p. 48]. For convenience, the quasigroup operation $A$ itself of $n$-quasigroup $Q(A)$ as a rule is called a quasigroup too.

If in the $n$-quasigroup $Q(A)$ there exists at least one element $e$ such that $A\left(\stackrel{i-1}{e}, x,{ }_{e}^{n-i}\right)=x$ for any $x \in Q$ and any $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, then $Q(A)$ is called an $n$-loop with an identity element $e$.
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2. A quasigroup $B$ is called an isotope of a quasigroup $A$ ( $A$ and $B$ have equal arity $n$ and are defined on the same set $Q$ ) if there exists a sequence $T=\left(\alpha_{1}^{n+1}\right)$ of substitutions of the set $Q$ such that $B\left(x_{1}^{n}\right)=\alpha_{n+1}^{-1} A\left(\left\{\alpha_{i} x_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n}\right)$ for all $x_{1}^{n} \in Q^{n}$.

The denotation is: $B=A^{T}$. The sequence $T$ is called an isotopy.
A substitution $\alpha_{i}$ is called an $i$-th component of the isotopy $T=\left(\alpha_{i}^{n+1}\right)$.
From $C=B^{T}, B=A^{S} \Rightarrow C=A^{S T}$, where $S T$ is the product of isotopies: $P=S T=\left(\alpha_{1}^{n+1}\right)\left(\beta_{1}^{n+1}\right)=\left(\left\{\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n+1}\right)$;

From $B=A^{S} \Rightarrow A=B^{S^{-1}}$. An isomorphism, i.e. an isotopy of the form $\binom{n+1}{\alpha}$, is a particular case of the isotopy. The principal isotopy is another particular case of isotopy: an isotopy of the form $S=\left(\alpha_{1}^{n}, \varepsilon\right)$ is called the principal one, and one quasigroup $B=A^{S}$ is called the principal isotope of the quasigroup $A$ ( $\varepsilon$ is an identical substitution).

Theorem 1. If a quasigroup $B$ is isotopic to a quasigroup $A$, then it is isomorphic to some its principal isotope:

$$
B=A^{T}, T=\left(\alpha_{1}^{n+1}\right) \Rightarrow B=\left(A^{S}\right)^{\alpha_{n+1}}
$$

Among the principal isotopes there are $L P$-isotopes that stand out. The notion of $n$-quasigroup translation is necessary for their definition.

Let us designate the sequence $a_{1}^{n} \in Q^{n}$ by $\bar{a}$, the sequence $\left\{a_{1}^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^{n}\right\}$ by ${ }_{i}(\bar{a})$. Such a sequence is called $i$-section of the sequence $\bar{a}=\left\{a_{1}^{n}\right\}$. Let an $n$-quasigroup $A$ be defined on $Q$. Then a mapping $L_{i}(\bar{a})$, defined by the equality

$$
L_{i}(\bar{a}) x=A\left(a_{1}^{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}^{n}\right),
$$

is called an $i$-translation relative to the sequence $\bar{a}$ or translation relative to $i$ section of the sequence $\bar{a}$. By virtue of the $n$-quasigroup definition, $i$-translations are substitutions of the set $Q$ for any $\bar{a} \in Q^{n}$ and for any $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Notice that $L_{1}(\bar{a}) x=A\left(x, a_{2}^{n}\right), L_{n}(\bar{a}) x=A\left(a_{1}^{n-1}, x\right)$; in the case when $n=2$ we have $\bar{a}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\} \in Q^{2}, L_{1}(\bar{a}) x=A\left(x, a_{2}\right)$ is right translation, $L_{2}(\bar{a}) x=A\left(a_{1}, x\right)$ is left translation of the quasigroup $A$.

Principal isotope $B=A^{T}$ of a quasigroup $A$, where $T=\left(\alpha_{1}^{n}, \varepsilon\right)$ and $\alpha_{i}=L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a})$, is called an $L P$-isotope of the quasigroup $A$.

Theorem 2. Every LP-isotope of a quasigroup is a loop.
Proof. Indeed, let us be given an $n$-quasigroup $Q(A)$. We consider its $L P$-isotope $B\left(x_{1}^{n}\right)=A^{T}\left(x_{1}^{n}\right)$, where $T=\left(\alpha_{1}^{n}, \varepsilon\right), \bar{a}=a_{1}^{n}$.

Let us show that $e=A\left(a_{1}^{n}\right)$ is an identity element of the quasigroup $Q(B)$. Notice that $L_{i}(\bar{a}) a_{i}=A\left(a_{1}^{n}\right)$. Let $A\left(a_{1}^{n}\right)=e$. Then $\alpha_{i} e=L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) e=a_{i}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
B\left({ }^{i-1}, x,{ }^{n-i} e^{n}\right)=A^{T}\left(e^{i-1}, x,{ }^{n-i}\right)=A\left(\left\{\alpha_{j} e\right\}_{j=1}^{i-1}, \alpha_{i} x,\left\{\alpha_{j} e\right\}_{j=i+1}^{n}\right)= \\
=A\left(a_{1}^{i-1}, \alpha_{i} x, a_{i+1}^{n}\right)=L_{i}(\bar{a})\left(\alpha_{i} x\right)=L_{i}(\bar{a})\left(L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) x\right)=x,
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e., $e$ is the identity element of the loop $Q(B)$.

Theorem 3. If a loop is principally isotopic to an n-quasigroup, then it is LPisotopic to this quasigroup.
Proof. Indeed, let $A$ be an $n$-quasigroup, $B\left(x_{1}^{n}\right)=A^{T}\left(x_{1}^{n}\right), T=\left(\alpha_{1}^{n}, \varepsilon\right)$ and let $Q(B)$ be a loop with identity element $e$. Then $x=B\left(e^{i-1}, x,{ }_{e} e^{n-i}\right)=A^{T}\left(e_{e}^{i-1}, x, e^{n-i}\right)=$ $A\left(\left\{\alpha_{j} e\right\}_{j=1}^{i-1}, \alpha_{i} x,\left\{\alpha_{j} e\right\}_{j=i+1}^{n}\right)$.

Let us assume that $\alpha_{i} e=a_{i}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, \bar{a}=a_{1}^{n}$. Therefore, $x=$ $A\left(a_{1}^{i-1}, \alpha_{i} x, a_{i+1}^{n}\right)=L_{i}(\bar{a}) \alpha_{i} x$, whence $\alpha_{i}=L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a})$, hence $B=A^{T}$ is an LP-isotope of the quasigroup $A$.
3. Let $Q(A)$ be an $n$-quasigroup. From the definition of translation $L_{i}(\bar{a})$ of the quasigroup $Q(A)$ we have that in this quasigroup the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}(\bar{a}) x=A\left(a_{1}^{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}^{n}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ runs through all the set $Q, L_{i}(\bar{a})$ is a substitution of the set $Q$ for $\forall \bar{a}=$ $x_{1}^{n} \in Q^{n}$ and for $\forall i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

From the identity (1) it results that with respect to the $n$-quasigroup $Q(A)$ the following identities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) A\left(a_{1}^{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}^{n}\right) & =A\left(a_{1}^{i-1}, L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) x, a_{i+1}^{n}\right) ;  \tag{2}\\
L_{i}(\bar{a}) A\left(a_{1}^{i-1}, x, a_{i+1} n\right) & =A\left(a^{i-1} L_{i}(\bar{a}) x, a_{i+1}^{n}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, by replacing in the identity (1) $x \rightarrow L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) x$, we get the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=A\left(a_{1}^{i-1}, L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) x, a_{i+1}^{n}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, applying the substitution $L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a})$ from left to the identity (1), we get the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=L_{i}(\bar{a}) A\left(a_{1}^{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}^{n}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the identities (5) $\wedge$ (4) it follows the identity (2); by replacing $x \rightarrow L_{i}(\bar{a}) x$ in the identity (2), and then by applying the substitution $L_{i}(\bar{a})$ to the obtained identity, it follows the identity (3).

From (1) by replacing $x \rightarrow L_{i}^{-2}(\bar{a})$, evidently the following identity results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) x=A\left(a_{1}^{i-1}, L_{i}^{-2}(\bar{a}) x, a_{i+1}^{n}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$ of $n$-quasigroup $Q(A)$ relative to the sequence $\bar{a}=a_{1}^{n} \in Q^{n}$ is a principal isotope of this quasigroup of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(x_{1}^{n}\right)=A\left(\left\{L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$ of the quasigroup $Q(A)$ is a loop with the identity element $e=A\left(a_{1}^{n}\right)[2$, p. 13].
4. For loops (with binary operation) the notion of an IP-loop (loop with reversibility) is defined: a loop $Q(\cdot)$ is called a $I P$-loop if for any $a, b, \in Q$ the following holds: ${ }^{-1} a(a b)=b,(b a) a^{-1}=b$, where ${ }^{-1} a a=a a^{-1}=1$.

## 2 Some results

In [3, p. 48] it is noted that the main research object is not IP-loops, but Moufang loops, which is a narrower class. Namely, a loop is called a Moufang loop if all the loops which are isotopic to it are the IP-loops. The following theorem is true: Moufang Theorem: A loop $Q(\cdot)$ is Moufang if and only if the following identity holds:

$$
(x y)(z x)=[x(y z)] x .
$$

Let us note that the Moufang loop is also equivalently defined by one of the following identities:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x(y \cdot x z)=(x y \cdot x) z \\
(z x \cdot y) x=z(x \cdot y x) \quad[1, \mathrm{p} .59] .
\end{gathered}
$$

In [1] the notions of the loop with the property of reversibility (IP-loop) and Moufang loop in the context of more general notion of $I P$-quasigroup are studied in detail. In [2] the generalisations of the notions of $I P$-loop and Moufang loop are also considered within the more general notion of $I P-n$-quasigroup. The notion of $I P$ - $n$-loop admits the following

Definition 1. An $n$-loop $Q(A)$ is called an $n$-loop with the property of reversibility (or IP-n-loop) if there exists the system of substitutions $\nu_{i j}(i, j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ of the set $Q$ (with $\nu_{i j}=\varepsilon$ being the identical substitution) such that the following identities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\left\{\nu_{i j} x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{i-1}, A\left(x_{1}^{n}\right),\left\{\nu_{i j} x_{j}\right\}_{j=i+1}^{n}\right)=x_{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x_{i} \in Q(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. The matrix $\left\|\nu_{i j}\right\|$ is called an inversion matrix of $I P$-loop $Q(A)$, and substitutions $\nu_{i j}$ are called inversion substitutions [2, p.66].

Let us extend without changes the definition of the notion of Moufang loop (binary) given in [3, pp. 18] to $n$-ary case of the loop. Thus, in the set of all $I P$ -$n$-loops, a narrower class of $n$-loops Moufang is singled out, which conforms to the following definition:

Definition 2. An $n$-loop $Q(A)$ is called a Moufang $n$-loop (or Moufang loop) if all the loops isotopic to it are $n$-loops with the property of reversibility ( $I P$ - $n$-loops).

The following theorem is true:
Theorem 4. An n-loop $Q(A)$ is a Moufang n-loop if and only if the following condition is met: for any LP-isotope of $n$-loop $Q(A)$ there exists a system of substitutions $\tilde{\nu}_{i j}(i, j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ of the set $Q$, with $\tilde{\nu}_{i j}=\varepsilon$, such that the following identities are true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\left\{\tilde{\nu}_{i j} x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{i-1}, C\left(x_{1}^{n}\right),\left\{\tilde{\nu}_{i j} x_{j}\right\}_{j=i+1}^{n}\right)=x_{i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x_{i} \in Q(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$.

Proof. Necessity. Let $Q(A)$ be a Moufang $n$-loop. According to Definition 2, all its $L P$-isotopes $Q(C)$ are $I P$ - $n$-loops. Thus, according to Definition 1, for any $L P$ isotope $Q(C)$ of the loop $Q(A)$ the identities (9) are true.

Sufficiency. Let $Q(A)$ be an $n$-loop and any its $L P$-isotope $Q(C)$ satisfies identities (9). According to the known theorem: if a loop $C$ is isotopic to an $n$-loop $A$, then it is isomorphic to some of its principal isotope:

$$
C=A^{T}, T=\left(\alpha_{1}^{n+1}\right) \Rightarrow C=\left(A^{S}\right)^{\alpha_{n+1}}
$$

this principal isotope $A^{S}$ is a loop. From Theorem 3 the following corollary obviously follows: if a loop $C_{1}$ is principally isotopic to an $n$-loop $A$, then it is $L P$-isotopic to the loop $A$ : $C_{1}=A^{S}, S=\left(\beta_{1}^{n}, \varepsilon\right) \Rightarrow \beta_{i}=L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a})$.

By virtue of these theorems, it follows that any loop $Q(C)$ which is isotopic to an $n$-loop $Q(A)$ is isomorphic to some $L P$-isotope of the loop $Q(A)$. Since, according to the theorem's condition, all $L P$-isotopes of the loop $Q(A)$ are $I P$-loops, then any loop which is isotopic to $n$-loop $Q(A)$ is an $I P-n$-loop, i.e. $Q(A)$ is a Moufang $n$-loop. The theorem is proved.

Let Moufang $n$-loop $Q(A)$ be set by identities (9). Applying formula (7) to identities (9) we get that identities (9) become as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\left\{L_{j}^{-1}(\bar{a}) \tilde{\nu}_{i j} x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{i-1}, L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) A\left(\left\{L_{j}^{-1}(\bar{a}) x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}\right),\left\{L_{j}^{-1}(\bar{a}) \tilde{\nu}_{i j} x_{j}\right\}_{j=i+1}^{n}\right)=x_{i} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x_{i} \in Q(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, where $L_{i}(\bar{a})$ is an $i$-translation relative to any $\bar{a}=a_{1}^{n} \in Q^{n}$.

Let us call identities (10) and the equivalent to them ones as identities of Moufang $n$-loop $Q(A)$. By replacing $x_{j} \rightarrow L_{j}(\bar{a}) x_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ in (10), we get the following identities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\left\{L_{j}^{-1}(\bar{a}) \tilde{\nu}_{i j} L_{j}(\bar{a}) x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{i-1}, L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) A\left(x_{1}^{n}\right),\left\{L_{j}^{-1}(\bar{a}) \tilde{\nu}_{i j} L_{j}(\bar{a}) x_{j}\right\}_{j=i+1}^{n}\right)=L_{i}(\bar{a}) x_{i} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x_{i} \in Q(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\bar{a}=a_{1}^{n} \in Q^{n}$.
Let a Moufang $n$-loop be set by identities (11). In particular case when $n=2$, identities (11) are equivalent to the following system of two identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A\left(L_{1}^{-1}(\bar{a}) A\left(x_{1}^{2}\right), L_{2}^{-1}(\bar{a}) \tilde{\nu}_{12} L_{2}(\bar{a}) x_{2}\right)=L_{1}(\bar{a}) x_{1}, \\
& A\left(L_{1}^{-1}(\bar{a}) \tilde{\nu}_{21} L_{1}(\bar{a}) x_{1}, L_{2}^{-1}(\bar{a}) A\left(x_{1}^{2}\right)\right)=L_{2}(\bar{a}) x_{2} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in Q$, any $\bar{a}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\} \in Q^{2}$, where $\nu_{12}, \nu_{21}$ are the inversion substitutions of $I P$-n-loop $Q(B)$ which is $L P$-isotopic to the loop $Q(A)$. By the definition of translation in $n$-quasigroup $Q(A)$ it follows that in the particular case with $n=2$ the following relations take place:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a} & \left.=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}, L_{1} \bar{a}\right) x=A\left(x, a_{2}\right)-\text { right translation, } \\
L_{2}(\bar{a}) x & =A\left(a_{1}, x\right) \text { is left translation of the quasigroup } Q(A),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x$ runs through the whole set $Q$. Let us denote $A=(\cdot)$. Then these relations can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\bar{a})=R_{a_{2}}, L_{2}(\bar{a})=L_{a_{1}}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it results that $L_{1}^{-1}(\bar{a})=R_{a_{2}}^{-1}, L_{2}^{-1}(\bar{a})=L_{a_{1}}^{-1}$.
Then, in view of the relations (13), identities (12) become as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
R_{a_{2}}^{-1}\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right) \cdot L_{a_{1}}^{-1} \tilde{\nu}_{12} L_{a_{1}} x_{2}=R_{a_{2}} x_{1}, \\
R_{a_{2}}^{-1} \tilde{\nu}_{21} R_{a_{2}} x_{1} \cdot L_{a_{1}}^{-1}\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)=L_{a_{1}} x_{2} \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

for any $x_{1}, x_{2}, a_{1}, a_{2} \in Q$.
Let us consider the second identity from (14). With $x_{2}=L_{x_{1}}^{-1} a_{1}$ it entails the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a_{2}}^{-1} \tilde{\nu}_{21} R_{a_{2}} x_{1}=a_{1} L_{x_{1}}^{-1} a_{1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By replacing expression $R_{a_{2}}^{-1} \tilde{\nu}_{21} x_{1}$ in (14) by identically equal to it expression from identity (15), we get the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{1} \cdot L_{x_{1}}^{-1} a_{1}\right) \cdot L_{a_{1}}^{-1}\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)=a_{1} x_{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $a_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2} \in Q$. So, since the loop $Q(\cdot)$ (binary), i.e. $Q(A)$ set by identities (14), is an IP-loop, then, as it is known, it possesses the following properties:

$$
{ }^{-1} x=x^{-1},(x \cdot y)^{-1}=y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1}, L_{x}^{-1}=L_{x^{-1}}, R_{x}^{-1}=R_{x^{-1}}
$$

where $L_{x}, R_{x}$ respectively are left and right translations of the loop $Q(\cdot)$ relative to an arbitrary element $x \in Q$, and $x^{-1}$ is a right inverse element for $x: x \cdot x^{-1}=1,1$ is an identity element of the loop $Q(\cdot) ;\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1}=x$. Therefore, identity (16) gets the following form:

$$
\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{-1} a_{1}\right) \cdot a_{1}^{-1}\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)=a_{1} x_{2}
$$

for any $a_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2} \in Q$.
Renaming variables in this identity as follows: $a_{1} \rightarrow x, x_{1} \rightarrow y, x_{2} \rightarrow z$, we get the following identity:

$$
x\left(y^{-1} \cdot x\right) \cdot\left(x^{-1} \cdot y z\right)=x z \Longleftrightarrow x^{-1} \cdot y z=\left(x^{-1} y\right) x^{-1} \cdot x z
$$

Replacing in the last identity $y \rightarrow x \cdot y x=L_{x} R_{x} y$ we get the identity:
$x^{-1}[(x \cdot y x) z]=\left[x^{-1}(x \cdot y x)\right] x^{-1} \cdot x z \Longleftrightarrow x^{-1}[(x \cdot y x) z]=y \cdot x z \Longleftrightarrow(x \cdot y x) z=x(y \cdot x z)$

- the identity of left Bol loop.

And since $Q(\cdot)$ is an $I P$-loop and at the same time it is a left Bol loop, then, by the known theorem, $Q(\cdot)$ is a Moufang loop assignable by the following identity:

$$
x(y \cdot x z)=(x y \cdot x) z \Longleftrightarrow(x y)(z x)=[x(y z)] x
$$

By analogy, the first identity from (14) entails the same identities.
With $n=3$ an operation is called ternary, and identities (10) become identities of ternary Moufang loop (Moufang 3-loop) $Q(A)$ and have the following compact writing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\left\{L_{j}^{-1}(\bar{a}) \tilde{\nu}_{i j} x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{i-1}, L_{i}^{-1}(\bar{a}) A\left(\left\{L_{j}^{-1}(\bar{a}) x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{3}\right),\left\{L_{j}^{-1}(\bar{a}) \tilde{\nu}_{i j} x_{j}\right\}_{j=i+1}^{3}\right)=x_{i} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x_{i} \in Q(i=1,2,3)$ and $\forall \bar{a}=a_{1}^{3} \in Q^{3}$, where $\tilde{\nu}_{i 1}, \tilde{\nu}_{i 2}, \tilde{\nu}_{i 3}$ are inversion substitutions of the $I P$ - $n$-loop $Q(B)$ which is $L P$-isotopic to the loop $Q(A)$, and $L_{i}(\bar{a})$ is an $i$-translation relative to $\bar{a}$.

Let us note one of the main properties of Moufang $n$-loop in the form of the following theorem:

Theorem 5. Any loop which is isotopic to Moufang $n$-loop is also a Moufang $n$-loop.

This theorem is proved in the context of broader generalisation of the notion of Moufang loop [2, p. 75].

## 3 Example of a ternary noncommutative Moufang loop

The following example demonstrates the existence of 3-ary Moufang loops which differ from 3 -groups. Let $K(+, \cdot)$ be an associative (not necessary commutative) ring with unity which has characteristic 3, i.e. there exists such a positive integer $n$ that for every element $x \in K$ the equality $n \cdot x=\underbrace{x+\ldots+x}_{n \text { times }}=0$ holds, with the least such number $p=3$ for which $3 \cdot x=x+x+x=0$ for every $x \in K$, and let $K^{\prime}(\cdot)$ be an abelian subgroup in a multiplicative semigroup $K(\cdot)$ of the ring $K$, consisting not only from 1 and such that the mapping $x \rightarrow s \cdot x$ is a substitution of the set $K$ for any $s \in K^{\prime}$ with $s^{2}=1$ for $\forall s \in K^{\prime}$ (in particular, $K=Z_{3}$ is a ring of residue classes modulo 3).

Let us consider Cartesian product $Q=K^{\prime} \times K=\left\{\langle s, x\rangle \mid s \in K^{\prime} \wedge x \in K\right\}$ of the sets $K^{\prime}$ and $K$, and also the Cartesian 3-rd degree $Q^{3}=\left\{\left\langle s_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle_{1}^{3} \mid s_{i} \in K^{\prime}, x_{i} \in K\right\}$ of the set $Q$. Let us denote by $\overline{\bar{a}}$ the sequence $\overline{\bar{a}}=\left\langle r_{i}, a_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{3} \in Q^{3}$. Let us define ternary operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, s_{2} x_{1}+s_{3} x_{2}+s_{1} x_{3}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\forall s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} \in K^{\prime}$ and $\forall x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in K$ on the set $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$ of ordered pairs of the form $\langle s, x\rangle \in Q$. Let us define the following mapping to this operation:

$$
\nu_{i j}: Q \rightarrow Q, \quad \nu_{i j}\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}\left\langle s_{j},-s_{j} x_{j}\right\rangle & \text { when } j \neq i,  \tag{19}\\ \left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle & \text { when } j=i\end{cases}
$$

for $\forall s_{j} \in K^{\prime}, \forall x_{j} \in K(j=1,2,3)$ and for $\forall i=1,2,3$. It is obvious that $\nu_{i j}$ is a substitution of the set $Q=K \times K^{\prime}$.

It is easy to verify that 3 -groupoid $Q(A)$ with operation (18) is a ternary loop (3-loop) with unity $<1,0\rangle$. Let us prove that this $\operatorname{loop} Q(A)$ is a required Moufang 3-loop.

Really, according to the definition of $L P$-isotope of $n$-quasigroup, for a loop $Q(A)$ defined on the set $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$ by formula (18) and for arbitrary sequence $\overline{\bar{a}}=\left\langle r_{i}, a_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{3} \in Q^{3}$ the specific $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$ of the loop $Q(A)$ is appropriately formed according to the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\left\langle s_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{3}\right)=A\left(\left\{L_{i}^{-1}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle s_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle\right\}_{i=1}^{3}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\forall s_{i} \in K^{\prime}, \forall x_{i} \in K(i=1,2,3)$ and for arbitrary sequence $\overline{\bar{a}} \in Q^{3}$. Let us fix arbitrary sequence and with this restriction consider the respective $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$ of the loop $Q(A)$ with the operation (18).

From the definition of $i$-translation of quasigroup $L_{i}(\bar{a})$ it follows that $L_{i}(\overline{\bar{a}})$ is a substitution of the set $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$ for every $i=1,2,3$. Therefore $L_{i}^{-1}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle s_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle$ is some ordered pair of the form $\langle s, x\rangle$ from $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}^{-1}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle s_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle t_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i=1,2,3$. Let us find the explicit form of the pair $\left\langle t_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle$, expressed through $s_{i}, x_{i}$. According to the definition of $i$-translation of quasigroup, the following identities are equivalent:

$$
(21) \Leftrightarrow\left\langle s_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle=L_{i}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle t_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle \Leftrightarrow\left\langle s_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle=A\left((\overline{\bar{a}})_{1}^{i-1},\left\langle t_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle,(\overline{\bar{a}})_{i+1}^{3}\right)
$$

for every $i=1,2,3$. The last identity is equivalent to the following system of three identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { When } i=1 \Rightarrow 1^{\circ} .\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle=A\left(\left\langle t_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle r_{2}, b_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle r_{3}, a_{3}\right\rangle\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { when } i=2 \Rightarrow 2^{\circ} .\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle=A\left(\left\langle r_{1}, a_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle t_{2}, a_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle r_{3}, a_{3}\right\rangle\right) \text {; }  \tag{22}\\
& \text { when } i=3 \Rightarrow 3^{\circ} .\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle=A\left(\left\langle r_{1}, a_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle r_{2}, a_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle t_{3}, y_{3}\right\rangle\right) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

When applying formula (18) to identities (22), these identities become as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1^{\circ} .\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle & =\left\langle t_{1} r_{2} r_{3}, r_{2} y_{1}+r_{3} a_{2}+t_{1} a_{3}\right\rangle, \\
2^{\circ} .\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle r_{1} t_{2} r_{3}, t_{2} a_{1}+r_{3} y_{2}+r_{1} a_{3}\right\rangle, \\
3^{\circ} .\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle & =\left\langle r_{1} r_{2} t_{3}, r_{2} a_{1}+t_{3} a_{2}+r_{1} y_{3}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\forall s_{i}, r_{i}, t_{i} \in K^{\prime}$ and $\forall x_{i}, a_{i}, y_{i} \in K(i=1,2,3)$, from which the following equalities result:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
t_{1}=r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, \quad t_{2}=r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, \quad t_{3}=r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}, \\
y_{1}=r_{2}\left(x_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} a_{3}\right), \quad y_{2}=r_{3}\left(x_{2}-r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right), \\
y_{3}=r_{1}\left(x_{3}-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle t_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle & =\left\langle r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}\left(x_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} a_{3}\right)\right\rangle, \\
\left\langle t_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\left(x_{2}-r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right)\right\rangle,  \tag{23}\\
\left\langle t_{3}, y_{3}\right\rangle & =\left\langle r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}, r_{1}\left(x_{3}-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

In view of identities (21) and (23) it follows that identity (20) equivalently transforms in the following way:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
B\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right) & =A\left(L_{1}^{-1}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle, L_{2}^{-1}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, L_{3}^{-1}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow B\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right) & = & A\left(\left\langle r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}\left(x_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} a_{3}\right)\right\rangle,\right.  \tag{24}\\
\left\langle r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\left(x_{2}-r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right)\right\rangle, & \left.\left\langle r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}, r_{1}\left(x_{3}-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Applying formula (18) to the right part of the last identity in (24), we get the following identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right) & =\left\langle r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} \cdot r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} \cdot r_{1} r_{2} s_{3},\right. \\
r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} \cdot r_{2}\left(x_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} a_{3}\right) & +r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} \cdot r_{3}\left(x_{2}-r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right)+ \\
\left.r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} \cdot r_{1}\left(x_{3}-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)\right\rangle &
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
B\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right) & =\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \cdot\left[s_{2}\left(x_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} a_{3}\right)+\right.\right. \\
s_{3}\left(x_{2}-r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right) & \left.\left.+s_{1}\left(x_{3}-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)\right]\right\rangle \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $s_{i}, r_{i} \in K^{\prime}, \forall x_{i}, a_{i} \in K(i=1,2,3)$ and for arbitrary fixed sequence $\overline{\bar{a}}=$ $\left\langle r_{i}, a_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{3} \in Q^{3}$, where $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$.

Thus, if a 3-loop $Q(A)$ is defined on the set $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$ by formula (18), then its arbitrary $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$ can be defined by the formula (25). According to the proof of the Theorem 2, this $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$ is a loop with identity element:

$$
e=A\left(\left\langle r_{i}, a_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{3}\right)=\left\langle r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}, r_{2} a_{1}+r_{3} a_{2}+r_{1} a_{3}\right\rangle .
$$

Let us show that for a 3-loop $Q(A)$, defined by formula (18), its $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$ which can be defined by formula (25) is an $I P$-loop.

Really, according to Definition 1, in order for a 3-loop $Q(B)$, defined on the set $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$, to be a $J P$-loop, it is sufficient that the following condition to be met: there exists a system of substitutions $\tilde{\nu}_{i j}(i, j=1,2,3)$ of the set $Q$, with $\tilde{\nu}_{i j}=\varepsilon$, such that the following identities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\left\{\tilde{\nu}_{i j}\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle\right\}_{j=1}^{i-1}, B\left(\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{3}\right),\left\{\tilde{\nu}_{i j}\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle\right\}_{j=i+1}^{3}\right)=\left\langle s_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\forall s_{j} \in K^{\prime}, \forall x_{j} \in K$, and for every $i=1,2,3$.
Let us consider mappings:

$$
\tilde{\nu}_{i j}: Q \rightarrow Q, \quad \tilde{\nu}_{i j}\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}\left\langle s_{j},-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{j} \cdot x_{j}+c_{j}\right\rangle, & \text { when } j \neq i,  \tag{27}\\ \left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle, & \text { when } j=i\end{cases}
$$

for $\forall s_{j} \in K^{\prime}, \forall x_{j} \in K(j=1,2,3)$, and for $\forall i=1,2,3$ with fixed arbitrary sequence $\overline{\bar{a}}=\left\langle r_{i}, a_{i}\right\rangle \in Q^{3}$, where $c_{j}$ are some elements from $K$ which are to be determined.

Obviously, this mapping is a substitution of the set $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$.
Let us prove that there exist such elements $c_{j} \in K(j=1,2,3)$ that substitutions (27) satisfy identities (26).

At first, suppose that there already exist such elements $c_{i} \subset Q(i=1,2,3)$ that the substitutions $\tilde{\nu}_{i j}(j=1,2,3)$ of the set $Q$, defined by the formula (27), satisfy identities (26). Identities (26) are equivalent to the following system of three identities:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
\text { When } i=1 \Rightarrow \quad \text { I. } B\left(B\left(\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{3}\right), \tilde{\nu}_{12}\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, \tilde{\nu}_{13}\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,  \tag{28}\\
\text { when } i=2 \Rightarrow \quad \text { II. B }\left(\tilde{\nu}_{21}\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle, B\left(\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{3}\right), \tilde{\nu}_{23}\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, \\
\text { when } \left.i=3 \Rightarrow \quad \text { III. B( } \tilde{\nu}_{31}\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle, \tilde{\nu}_{32}\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, B\left(\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{3}\right)\right)=\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us denote by $F$ the second component of the pair in the right part of identity (25):

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \cdot\left[s_{2}\left(x_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} a_{3}\right)+s_{3}\left(x_{2}-r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right)+s_{1}\left(x_{3}-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)\right] . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying formulae (25), (27), and (29) to the left sides of identities (28), we get the equivalent system of three identities:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
\text { I.B }\left(\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, F\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2},-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{2} \cdot x_{2}+c_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3},-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{3} \cdot x_{3}+c_{3}\right\rangle\right) & =  \tag{30}\\
\text { II.B }\left(\left\langle s_{1},-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} \cdot x_{1}+c_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, F\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3},-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{3} \cdot x_{3}+c_{3}\right\rangle\right) & \left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle ; \\
= & \left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle ; \\
\text { III.B(〈s,-r}, \\
= & \left.\left\langle s_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} \cdot x_{1}+c_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2},-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{2} \cdot x_{2}+c_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, F\right\rangle\right)=
\end{array}\right.
$$

Applying now the same formula (25) to the left sides of identities (30), but relative to new components of the operation $B$ (i.e. considering new components as variables $S_{1}, X_{1} ; S_{2}, X_{2} ; S_{3}, X_{3}$ respectively), we get the equivalent system of identities:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& I .\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} \cdot s_{2} \cdot s_{3}, r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \cdot\left[s_{2}\left(F-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} a_{3}\right)+\right.\right.  \tag{31}\\
&+s_{3}\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{2} \cdot x_{2}+c_{2}-r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right)+ \\
&\left.\left.+s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{3} \cdot x_{3}+c_{3}+c_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)\right]\right\rangle=\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle, \\
& I I .\left\langle s_{1} \cdot s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} \cdot s_{3}, r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \cdot\left[s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} \cdot x_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} a_{3}\right)+\right.\right. \\
&+s_{3}\left(F-r_{1} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right)+ \\
&\left.\left.+s_{1}\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{3} \cdot x_{3}+c_{3}-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)\right]\right\rangle=\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, \\
& I I I .\left\langle s_{1} \cdot s_{2} \cdot s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \cdot\left[s_{2}\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} \cdot x_{1}+c_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} a_{3}\right)+\right.\right. \\
&+s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{2} \cdot x_{2}+c_{2}-r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right)+ \\
&\left.\left.+s_{1}\left(F-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)\right]\right\rangle=\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Multiplying from left by $r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{3}, r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{2}$ the second components of the identities $I, I I, I I I$ of the identities system (31) respectively, we get the following system of three identities:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
I . s_{2} s_{3} \cdot\left(F-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} a_{3}\right)+  \tag{32}\\
+1 \cdot\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{2} \cdot x_{2}+c_{2}-r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right)+ \\
+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{3} \cdot x_{3}+c_{3}-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)= \\
I I . s_{2} r_{2} r_{3} s_{3} \cdot x_{1} \cdot\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} \cdot x_{1}+c_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} a_{3}\right)+ \\
+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot\left(F-r_{1} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right)+ \\
+1 \cdot\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{3} \cdot x_{3}+c_{3}-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)= \\
r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} \cdot x_{2} \\
I I I .1 \cdot\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} \cdot x_{1}+c_{1}-r_{3} a_{2}-r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} a_{3}\right)+ \\
s_{1} s_{3} \cdot\left(-r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{2} \cdot x_{2}+c_{2}-r_{1} r_{3} s_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} a_{3}\right)+ \\
s_{1} s_{2} \cdot\left(F-r_{2} a_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} a_{2}\right)= \\
r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} s_{2} \cdot x_{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Substitute for symbol $F$ in all identities (32) its expression from (29). Then, after combining similar terms, as it is easy to verify, we get the following identities:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
I .-\left(r_{2}+r_{1} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}+r_{2} s_{1} s_{2}\right) a_{1}- &  \tag{33}\\
-\left(r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}+r_{3} s_{1} s_{2}+r_{3} s_{2} s_{3}+r_{1} r_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\right) a_{2}- & \\
-\left(r_{1} s_{1} s_{3}+r_{2} r_{3} s_{2}+r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}+r_{1}\right) a_{3}+c_{2}+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot c_{3}= & 0 \\
I I .-\left(r_{2} s_{1} s_{2}+r_{1} r_{3} s_{3}+r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}+r_{2}\right) a_{1}- & \\
-\left(r_{3} s_{2} s_{3}+r_{1} r_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+r_{3}+r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right) a_{2}- & \\
-\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+r_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}+r_{1} s_{1} s_{3}\right) a_{3}+c_{3}+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot c_{1}= & 0, \\
I I I .-\left(r_{1} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+r_{2} s_{1} s_{3}+r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}+r_{2} s_{1} s_{2}\right) a_{1}- & \\
-\left(r_{3}+r_{1} r_{2} s_{1}+r_{3} s_{2} s_{3}+r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right) a_{2}- & \\
-\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}+r_{1} s_{1} s_{3}+r_{1}+r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\right) a_{3}+c_{1}+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot c_{2}= & 0 .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Let us denote by $-b_{1},-b_{2},-b_{3}$ integer algebraic expressions consisting of all terms of the left sides of the respective identities (33), except those containing certain elements of $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$. By implication, the result of all operations implementation in each of these expressions is, respectively, a certain well defined element from a ring $K$. Therefore, the system of equalities (33) is the following system of linear equations with unknowns $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{2}+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot c_{3}=b_{1},  \tag{34}\\
c_{3}+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot c_{1}=b_{2}, \\
c_{1}+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot c_{2}=b_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with fixed arbitrary $r_{i} \in K^{\prime}, a_{i} \in K(i=1,2,3)$. It is easy to verify that in the ring $K$ of characteristic 3 the system of equations (34) has the following general solution:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
c_{1} & = & s_{1} s_{3} b_{1}-s_{2} s_{3} b_{2}-b_{3},  \tag{35}\\
c_{2} & = & -b_{1}+s_{1} s_{2} b_{2}-s_{1} s_{3} b_{3}, \\
c_{3} & = & -s_{1} s_{2} b_{1}-b_{2}+s_{2} s_{3} b_{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to see that all the process of transition from identities (26) to the system of identities (33) is reversible, i.e. (33) $\Leftrightarrow(26)$.

Thus, there really exist such elements $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in K$ which can be defined by formulae (35) and satisfy identities (33) as well as identities (26), with elements $c_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ from formula (27) being just these elements $c_{j}(j=1,2,3)$, which can be defined by formulae (35).

By this, we have proved that for loop $Q(A)$, defined on the set $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$ by formula (18) and with fixed arbitrary sequence $\overline{\bar{a}}=\left\langle r_{i}, a_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{3} \in Q^{3}$, for its $L P$ isotope $Q(B)$ there exists a system of substitutions $\tilde{\nu}_{i j}(i, j=1,2,3)$ of the set $Q$, which can be defined by the formula (27) and satisfy identities (26).

Therefore, by Definition 1, this $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$ is a $I P$-loop. So, since $L P$ isotope $Q(B)$ of 3-loop $Q(A)$ is already considered with fixed arbitrary sequence $\overline{\bar{a}} \in Q^{3}$, then $Q(B)$ is any $L P$-isotope of the loop $Q(A)$, being an $I P$-loop. Then, by Theorem 1, loop $Q(A)$ with operation defined on the set $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$ by formula (18) is a ternary Moufang loop. This loop is noncommutative and is not a 3 -group.

Indeed, the following inequality takes place for operation (18):

$$
A\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right) \neq A\left(\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right),
$$

i.e. $Q(A)$ is noncommutative. The notion of 3 -group for quasigroup $Q(A)$ on the set $Q=K^{\prime} \times K$ is defined by the following identities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(A\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right),\left\langle s_{4}, x_{4}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{5}, x_{5}\right\rangle\right)= \\
= & A\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle, A\left(\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{4}, x_{4}\right\rangle\right),\left\langle s_{5}, x_{5}\right\rangle\right)= \\
= & A\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, A\left(\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{4}, x_{4}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{5}, x_{5}\right\rangle\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For Moufang 3 -loop, defined by formula (18), these identities are not met for all $s_{i} \in K^{\prime}, x_{i} \in K(i=1,2,3)$. For example, with $x_{2}=x_{3}=x_{4}=x_{5}=0, x_{1} \neq 0$,
$s_{3} \neq 1$, as it can be verified, not all of these identities are met, i.e. $Q(A)$ is not a 3 -group. It can be considered that this Moufang 3 -loop is constructed with the help of the initial ring $K=Z_{3}$.

So, a ternary noncommutative Moufang loop which is not a 3 -group is constructed.

## 4 Example of a ternary commutative Moufang loop different from 3-ary group

In [4, p. 42] a method for the construction of complete ring $R_{2}$ of matrices of order $n$ over arbitrary ring $R$ is given. Let us apply this method in the following particular case.

Let $Z_{2}$ be a ring of residue classes modulo 2 . Operations of addition and multiplication of the ring $Z_{2}$ are:

| $+$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ |  | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | 0 |
| $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ |

Let us consider set $M$ of all possible upper triangular square matrices of order 4 of the following form :

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\bar{x}_{11} & \overline{0} & \bar{x}_{13} & \bar{x}_{14} \\
\overline{0} & \bar{x}_{11} & \overline{0} & \bar{x}_{24} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \bar{x}_{11} & \overline{0} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \bar{x}_{11}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with elements from $Z_{2}$, where $\bar{x}_{i i}=\bar{x}_{11}$ for all $i=1,2,3,4$ and $\bar{x}_{11}, \bar{x}_{13}, \bar{x}_{14}, \bar{x}_{24}$ are any elements from $Z_{2}$, the rest of the elements are zeroes $\overline{0}$. When defining by usual way addition and multiplication for them, we get, as it is easy to verify, associative (since $Z_{2}$ is associative), but noncommutative ring $M(+, \cdot)$ with identity element.

Zero matrix $0=0_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} \\ \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} \\ \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} \\ \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0}\end{array}\right)$, consisting of zeroes $\overline{0}$, serves as a zero element of this ring, and identity (unit) matrix $E_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}\overline{1} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} \\ \overline{0} & \overline{1} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} \\ \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{1} & \overline{0} \\ \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{1}\end{array}\right)$ plays the role
of the identity element 1 . Since the initial ring $Z_{2}$ has characteristic 2 , then the ring $M$, evidently, has also characteristic 2, i.e., $2 x=x+x=0$ for $\forall x \in M$. The subset $M^{\prime}=\{1, b, c, d\} \subset M$, where $1=E_{4}$ is the identity element of the ring $M$,

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\overline{1} & \overline{0} & \overline{1} & \overline{1} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{1} & \overline{0} & \overline{1} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{1} & \overline{0} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{1}
\end{array}\right), c=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\overline{1} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{1} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{1} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{1} & \overline{0} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{1}
\end{array}\right), d=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\overline{1} & \overline{0} & \overline{1} & \overline{0} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{1} & \overline{0} & \overline{1} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{1} & \overline{0} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

( $\overline{0}$ is zero element, $\overline{1}$ is identity element of the ring $Z_{2}$ ) relative to matrices multiplication, i.e., $M^{\prime}(\cdot)$, as it is easy to verify, is an abelian subgroup in $M^{*}(\cdot)$ (where $M^{*}=M \backslash\{0\}$ ), and such that mapping $x \rightarrow s \cdot x$ is a substitution of the set $M$ with any $s \in M^{\prime}$, and $s^{2}=1$ for $\forall s \in M^{\prime}$. The order of the group $M^{\prime}$ is equal to 4. Let us define the following ternary operation on the set $Q=M^{\prime} \times M$ of ordered pairs of the form $\langle s, x\rangle \in Q$.
$A\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, s_{2} s_{3} \cdot x_{1}+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot x_{2}+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot x_{3}+\varphi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)\right\rangle$
for $\forall s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} \in M^{\prime}, \forall x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in M$, where $\varphi$ is the 3 -ary function on $M^{\prime}$ with the value in $M$, which is defined by the following formula:

$$
\varphi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+l, & \text { where } l \text { is any fixed element from } M^{\prime} \\
& \text { if the components } s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} \text { are pairwise different } \\
& \left(\text { i.e. } s_{1} \neq s_{2}, s_{1} \neq s_{3}, s_{2} \neq s_{3}\right), \\
0 & \text { in other cases } \\
& \text { (i.e., if not all of } s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} \text { are pairwise different). }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

It is easy to verify, that the 3 -groupoid $Q(A)$ with operation (36) is a 3-loop with identity element $\langle 1,0\rangle$, where 0 is zero element, 1 is identity element of the ring $M$.

Let us prove that this loop $Q(A)$ is a commutative Moufang loop.
Really, as we have already showed, the $L P$-isotope of 3-loop $Q(A)$ is defined by formula (20). From the definition of $i$-translation of the quasigroup it follows that $L_{i}^{-1}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle s_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle$ is an ordered pair of the form $\langle s, x\rangle$ from $Q=M^{\prime} \times M$, i.e., equality (21) takes place. Then identities (22) are equivalent.

Applying formula (36) to identities (22), the last become as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1^{\circ} \cdot\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle & =\left\langle t_{1} r_{2} r_{3}, r_{2} r_{3} \cdot y_{1}+t_{1} r_{3} \cdot a_{2}+t_{1} r_{2} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(t_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right\rangle, \\
2^{\circ} \cdot\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle r_{1} t_{2} r_{3}, t_{2} r_{3} \cdot a_{1}+r_{1} r_{3} \cdot y_{2}+r_{1} t_{2} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{1}, t_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right\rangle, \\
3^{\circ} \cdot\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle & =\left\langle r_{1} r_{2} t_{3}, r_{2} t_{3} \cdot a_{1}+r_{1} t_{3} \cdot a_{2}+r_{1} r_{2} \cdot y_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, t_{3}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\forall s_{i}, r_{i}, t_{i} \in M^{\prime}$ and $\forall a_{i}, y_{i} \in M(i=1,2,3)$, where from the following equalities result: $t_{1}=r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, t_{2}=r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, t_{3}=r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=r_{2} r_{3} \cdot\left(x_{1}-r_{2} s_{1} \cdot a_{2}-r_{3} s_{1} \cdot a_{3}-\varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right), \\
& y_{2}=r_{1} r_{3} \cdot\left(x_{2}-r_{1} s_{2} \cdot a_{1}-r_{3} s_{2} \cdot a_{3}-\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right), \\
& y_{3}=r_{1} r_{2} \cdot\left(x_{3}-r_{1} s_{3} \cdot a_{1}-r_{2} s_{3} \cdot a_{2}-\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And, since the ring $M$ has characteristic 2, i.e. $-x=x$ for $\forall x \in M$, then the following identities result:

$$
\left\langle t_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2} r_{3} \cdot\left(x_{1}+r_{2} s_{1} \cdot a_{2}+r_{3} s_{1} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right)\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle t_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{3} \cdot\left(x_{2}+r_{1} s_{2} \cdot a_{1}+r_{3} s_{2} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right)\right\rangle  \tag{37}\\
\left\langle t_{3}, y_{3}\right\rangle & =\left\langle r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}, r_{1} r_{2} \cdot\left(x_{3}+r_{1} s_{3} \cdot a_{1}+r_{2} s_{3} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)\right)\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

In view of identities $(21) \wedge(37)$ it follows that in the current case identity (20) equivalently transforms in the following way:

$$
\begin{gathered}
B\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right)=A\left(L_{1}^{-1}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle, L_{2}^{-1}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, L_{3}^{-1}(\overline{\bar{a}})\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right) \Longleftrightarrow \\
B\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right)=A\left(\left\langle r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2} r_{3} \cdot\left(x_{1}+r_{2} s_{1} \cdot a_{2}+r_{3} s_{1} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right)\right\rangle,\right. \\
\\
\left\langle r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{3} \cdot\left(x_{2}+r_{1} s_{2} \cdot a_{1}+r_{3} s_{2} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
\\
\left.\left\langle r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}, r_{1} r_{2} \cdot\left(x_{3}+r_{1} s_{3} \cdot a_{1}+r_{2} s_{3} \cdot a_{2}+\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)\right)\right\rangle\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying formula (36) to the right side of the last identity, we get the following identity:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
B\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right) \\
= \\
\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, s_{2} s_{3} \cdot\left(x_{1}+r_{2} s_{1} \cdot a_{2}+r_{3} s_{1} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right)\right.  \tag{38}\\
+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot\left(x_{2}+r_{1} s_{2} \cdot a_{1}+r_{3} s_{2} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right) \\
+ \\
+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot\left(x_{3}+r_{1} s_{3} \cdot a_{1}+r_{2} s_{3} \cdot a_{2}+\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)\right) \\
\left.\varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

Thus, if a 3-loop $Q(A)$ is defined on the set $Q=M^{\prime} \times M$ by formula (36), then its arbitrary $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$ can be defined by formula (38).

Let us demonstrate that for 3-loop $Q(A)$ defined by formula (36) its $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$, that can be defined by formula (38), is a $I P$-loop. To that end, let us consider the same identities (26) relative to the loop $Q(B)$, defined on the set $Q=M^{\prime} \times M$.

$$
B\left(\left\{\tilde{\nu}_{i j}\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle\right\}_{j=1}^{i-1}, B\left(\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{3}\right),\left\{\tilde{\nu}_{i j}\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle\right\}_{j=i+1}^{3}\right)=\left\langle s_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle
$$

for $\forall s_{i} \in M^{\prime}, \forall x_{i} \in M$ and for every $i=1,2,3$.
Let us define substitutions $\nu_{i j}(i, j=1,2,3)$ of the set $Q$ for these identities by the following equalities:

$$
\tilde{\nu}_{i j}\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{align*}
\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}+c_{j}\right\rangle & \text { if } j \neq i  \tag{39}\\
\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle & \text { if } j=i
\end{align*}\right.
$$

for $\forall s_{j} \in M^{\prime}, \forall x_{j} \in M(j=1,2,3)$ and for $\forall i=1,2,3$ with fixed arbitrary sequence $\overline{\bar{a}}=\left\langle r_{i}, a_{i}\right\rangle \in Q^{3}$, where $\overline{\overline{c_{j}}}(j=1,2,3)$ are some elements of the set $M$, which are to be determined.

Let us demonstrate that there exist such elements $c_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ of the set $M$ that, relative to the loop $Q(B)$, substitutions (39) defined by formula (38) meet identities (26).

Let us at first suppose that there already exist such elements $c_{i j}(i, j=1,2,3)$ that the substitutions $\tilde{\nu}_{i j}(i, j=1,2,3)$ of the set $Q$, defined by formulae (39), meet
identities (26). As we have already demonstrated, identities (26) are equivalent to the system of three identities (28).

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
& I . B\left(B\left(\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{3}\right), \tilde{\nu}_{12}\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, \tilde{\nu}_{13}\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle, \\
& I I . B\left(\tilde{\nu}_{21}\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle, B\left(\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{3}\right), \tilde{\nu}_{23}\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, \\
& \text { III. } B\left(\tilde{\nu}_{31}\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle, \tilde{\nu}_{32}\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, B\left(\left\langle s_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{3}\right)\right)=\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Let us denote the second component of the right side of formula (38) by $\Phi$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\Phi= & s_{2} s_{3} \cdot\left(x_{1}+r_{2} s_{1} \cdot a_{2}+r_{3} s_{1} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right)+ \\
+ & s_{1} s_{3} \cdot\left(x_{2}+r_{1} s_{2} \cdot a_{1}+r_{3} s_{2} \cdot a_{3}+\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right)+ \\
+ & s_{1} s_{2} \cdot\left(x_{3}+r_{1} s_{3} \cdot a_{1}+r_{2} s_{3} \cdot a_{2}+\varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)\right)+  \tag{40}\\
+ & \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Applying formulae (38) and (40) to the left sides of identities (28), we get that identities (28) are equivalent to the following system of identities:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\text { I. } B\left(\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, \Phi\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}+c_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}+c_{3}\right\rangle\right)= & \left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle,  \tag{41}\\
\text { II. B( } \left.\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}+c_{1}\right\rangle, B\left(\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, \Phi\right\rangle\right),\left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}+c_{3}\right\rangle\right)= & \left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}\right\rangle, \\
\text { III. B(} B\left(\left\langle s_{1}, x_{1}+c_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{2}, x_{2}+c_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, \Phi\right\rangle\right)= & \left\langle s_{3}, x_{3}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Applying now the same formula (38) to the left sides of identities (41), but relative to new components of the operation $B$, we get the following equivalent system of three identities:

In view of properties of the ring $M$ and its subgroup $M^{\prime}(\cdot)$ and equality (40), as it is easy to verify, the system of identities (42), after combining similar terms in them, turns into the following system of three identities:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
I . s_{2} s_{3} \cdot\left[s_{2} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)+\right. & s_{1} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)+  \tag{43}\\
+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ & \left.\varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)\right]+ \\
+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)+ & s_{1} s_{2} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)+ \\
+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2}\right)+ & \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ \\
+ & s_{1} s_{2} \cdot c_{2}+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot c_{3}=0, \\
I I . s_{1} s_{2} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)+ & s_{1} s_{3} \cdot\left[s_{2} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)+\right. \\
+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)+ & s_{1} s_{2} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ \\
\left.+\varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)\right]+ & s_{1} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, r_{3}\right)+ \\
+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ & \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ \\
+ & s_{1} s_{2} \cdot c_{1}+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot c_{3}=0 \\
I I I . s_{1} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)+ & s_{2} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)+ \\
+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot\left[s_{2} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)+\right. & s_{1} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)+ \\
+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ & \left.\varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)\right]+ \\
+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\right)+ & \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\right)+ \\
s_{1} s_{3} \cdot c_{1}+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot c_{3}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

After opening parentheses and in view of properties of the ring $M$ and its subgroup $M^{\prime}$, the system of identities (43) becomes as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
I . \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)+ & s_{2} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+  \tag{44}\\
+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)+ & \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ \\
+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot c_{2}+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot c_{3}= & 0, \\
I I . \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{3}\right)+ & s_{1} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ \\
+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, r_{3}\right)+ & \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ \\
s_{1} s_{2} \cdot c_{1}+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot c_{3}= & 0, \\
I I I . \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ & s_{1} s_{2} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{3}\right)+ \\
+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot \varphi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\right)+ & \varphi\left(r_{2} r_{3} s_{1}, r_{1} r_{3} s_{2}, r_{1} r_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\right)+ \\
+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot c_{1}+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot c_{3}= & 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In identities (44) we formally truncate all those terms containing function $\varphi$ for which not all their components are pairwise different, since the value of these terms is equal to 0 , and that is why they do not affect the identities implementing. For each of the remaining terms, containing function $\varphi$, its components are pairwise different. Therefore, in view of formula (36) and properties of the ring $M$ and its
subgroup $M^{\prime}$, the identities system (44) becomes as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
I \cdot s_{1}+l+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot\left(s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+l\right)+ & s_{2} s_{3} \cdot\left(s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+l\right)+  \tag{45}\\
+s_{1}+l+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot c_{2}+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot c_{3}= & 0, \\
I I \cdot s_{2}+l+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot\left(s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+l\right)+ & s_{1} s_{3} \cdot\left(s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+l\right)+ \\
+s_{2}+l+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot c_{1}+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot c_{3}= & 0 \\
I I I . s_{3}+l+s_{1} s_{2} \cdot\left(s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+l\right)+ & s_{1} s_{2} \cdot\left(s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+l\right)+ \\
+s_{3}+l+s_{1} s_{3} \cdot c_{1}+s_{2} s_{3} \cdot c_{2}= & 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $\forall s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} \in M^{\prime}$ and fixed $l \in M^{\prime}$.
It is easy to see that the system of equalities (45) represents the following system of linear homogeneous equations relative to the unknowns $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ s _ { 1 } s _ { 2 } \cdot c _ { 2 } + s _ { 1 } s _ { 3 } \cdot c _ { 3 } = 0 , }  \tag{46}\\
{ s _ { 1 } s _ { 2 } \cdot c _ { 1 } + s _ { 2 } s _ { 3 } \cdot c _ { 3 } = 0 } \\
{ s _ { 1 } s _ { 3 } \cdot c _ { 1 } + s _ { 2 } s _ { 3 } \cdot c _ { 2 } = 0 }
\end{array} \Leftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{2} c_{2}+s_{3} c_{3}=0, \\
s_{1} c_{1}+s_{3} c_{3}=0 \\
s_{1} c_{1}+s_{2} c_{2}=0
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

In this, it is easy to verify that in this ring $M$ with characteristic 2 the system of equations (46) has the following general solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=s_{1} x, \quad c_{2}=s_{2} x, \quad c_{3}=s_{3} x, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is an arbitrary element from $M$.
It is easy to see that all the process of transition from identities (26) to the system of equations (46) is reversible, i.e. (46) $\Leftrightarrow(26)$. In this, each nonzero particular solution (47) of the system of equations (46) gives some system of substitutions (39) of the set $M$ which really satisfy identities (26).

Then, according to Definition 1, the loop $Q(B)$ is a $J P$-loop. Since $L P$-isotope $Q(B)$ of the loop $Q(A)$ is considered with fixed arbitrary sequence $\overline{\bar{a}}=\left\langle r_{i}, a_{i}\right\rangle \in Q$, then it follows that $Q(B)$ is any $L P$-isotope of the loop $Q(A)$, being just $J P$-loop.

Therefore, by Theorem 1, the loop $Q(A)$, defined on the set $Q=M^{\prime} \times M$ by formula (36), is a ternary Moufang loop.

It is easy to verify that this loop $Q(A)$ is commutative. On the other hand, it can be easily shown, that for loop $Q(A)$ with operation (36) to be 3 -group it is necessary that the following identities to be satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{4} s_{5} \cdot \varphi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)+\varphi\left(s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{5}\right)= \\
& s_{1} s_{5} \cdot \varphi\left(s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right)+\varphi\left(s_{1}, s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}, s_{5}\right)=  \tag{48}\\
& s_{1} s_{2} \cdot \varphi\left(s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{5}\right)+\varphi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} s_{4} s_{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for each $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{5} \in M^{\prime}$.
But, for the loop $Q(A)$, defined by formula (36), identities (48) are not satisfied for all $s_{i} \in M^{\prime}(i=\overline{1,5})$. Thus, according to formula (36), for elements $s_{i} \in$ $M^{\prime}(i=\overline{1,5})$ such that $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ are pairwise different and $s_{1} \neq 1, s_{2} \neq 1, s_{3} \neq 1$, but $s_{4}=s_{5}=1$, identities (48) reduce to the following identities:

$$
\varphi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=s_{1} \cdot \varphi\left(s_{2}, s_{3}, 1\right)+\varphi\left(s_{1}, s_{2} s_{3}, 1\right)=\varphi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) .
$$

Since in the subgroup $M^{\prime} \subset M$ of order 4 product of any two nonunitary elements is equal to the third nonunitary element of this subgroup, then in this case, $s_{2} s_{3}=s_{1}$. Therefore, the previous identities reduce to the identity:

$$
\varphi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=s_{1} \cdot \varphi\left(s_{2}, s_{3}, 1\right)
$$

or

$$
s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}+l=s_{1} \cdot\left(s_{2} s_{3}+l\right)
$$

wherefrom the following identity results:

$$
l=s_{1} \cdot l
$$

for $\forall s_{1} \in M^{\prime} \backslash 1$ and with the fixed $l \in M^{\prime}$.
The last identity evidently is not satisfied for all $s_{1} \in M^{\prime}$. Thus, for 3-loop $Q(A)$, defined by formula (36), identity (48), generally speaking, is not satisfied, i.e., 3-loop $Q(A)$ is not a 3-group. So, ternary commutative Moufang loop, different from 3 -group, is constructed.
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